

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/494,218 | 01/28/2000 | Jason M Brewer | TI-28385 | 3161 |
| | 7590 12/28/200 .UMENTS INCORPO | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 | | | BLAIR, DOUGLAS B | |
| DALLAS, TX 75265 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2142 | |
| | | | | · |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 12/28/2006 | 12/28/2006 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/494,218 | BREWER, JASON M | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Douglas B. Blair | 2142 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | , | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se | eptember 2006. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| ,— | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | | (4) ~~ (5) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ателт Аррисация | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · — | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/494,218

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks/Arguments, filed 9/13/2006, with respect to the rejections based on 35 USC section 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC section 112 rejections of claims 1-2 and 5-9 have been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/2006 with respect to the 35 USC sections 102 and 103 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's main argument is that Brown does not teach a gateway. The applicant is arguing that though the term "gateway" is not defined by the applicant's specification, a gateway "is a standard telecommunications terminology, and a gateway at minimum connects two networks which have different protocols".
- 3. In response to this argument, the applicant's "gateway" does nothing to connect two networks having different protocols. In fact there are not even two networks disclosed by the applicant. The applicant argues that reference number 41 is a wired network but the Examiner can find no support for this assertion anywhere in the applicant's specification. Given that the applicant's specification has nothing to do with a "gateway" as the applicant now defines it, it is unreasonable for the applicant assume that the claimed "gateway" would have interpreted as such. Rather, it is reasonable to interpret a gateway as "something that serves as an entrance or a means of access", as defined by American Heritage Dictionary, because that is all that the applicant discloses.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/494,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,295,638 to Brown et al.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected for the same reasons as in the office action mailed on June 14, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent Number 6,295,238 to Brown et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,589 to Mishra et al.
- 9. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected for the same reasons as in the office action mailed on June 14, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,218

Art Unit: 2142

- 10. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent Number 6,295,238 to Brown et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,389,589 to Mishra et al. in further view of U.S. Patent Number 6,263,360 to Arnold et al.
- 11. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected for the same reasons as in the office action mailed on June 14, 2006.
- 12. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent Number 6,295,238 to Brown et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,263,360 to Arnold et al.
- 13. Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as in the office action mailed on June 14, 2006.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2142

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Douglas Blair

EW CALDWELL
BY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Coldwell

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/494,218

Art Unit: 2142